International Journal of Academic Research and Development
www.allreviewjournal.com
ISSN: 2455-4197
Received: 27-10-2025, Accepted: 26-11-2025, Published: 11-12-2025
Volume 10, Issue 6, 2025, Page No. 52-56

Effect of precision farming techniques on resource use efficiency and crop performance

Kul Bhooshan Anand[1], S B Verma[2*]
[1] Associate Professor, Department of Agronomy, Udai Pratap (Autonomous) College, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India
[2] Associate Professor, Department of Agricultural Botany, Genetics and Plant Breeding, Udai Pratap (Autonomous) College, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

Abstract
This essay examines how precision farming techniques reshape agricultural decision-making to improve resource use efficiency and crop performance. It synthesizes evidence on site-specific management tools—such as variable-rate application, remote sensing, and GPS-guided operations—that match inputs to spatial and temporal crop needs, thereby lowering input waste and stabilizing yields. The discussion evaluates water, nutrient, and energy use outcomes, highlighting reductions in application rates per unit output, alongside improvements in yield stability and quality traits linked to timely interventions. It further assesses agronomic mechanisms, including feedback-driven adjustments and within-field heterogeneity management, that translate measurement into actionable control, while noting operational constraints related to data quality and system integration. Finally, the essay reviews economic and environmental consequences of these practices and outlines emerging innovations, setting a research agenda that connects technical performance with adoption contexts, risk management, and scalable decision support for diverse production systems.

Keywords: Precision farming, resource use efficiency, crop performance, site-specific management and smart agriculture

Introduction

Against the backdrop of rising input costs and variable climate conditions, precision farming refers to a management approach that tailors agronomic decisions to spatial and temporal variability within fields. Using sensing, geolocation, and data-driven control, producers align water, nutrients, and crop protection with measured crop demand, thereby reducing unnecessary applications while stabilizing physiological development. This approach has gained momentum as digital tools have matured and as production systems face tightening environmental regulations and heightened market pressures for consistent quality. In this essay, the analysis concentrates on how site-specific interventions alter resource use efficiency, measured as output per unit of water, nutrient, and energy applied, and how these interventions affect yield levels, uniformity, and quality attributes. The discussion follows a mechanistic thread from measurement to decision to actuation, then evaluates agronomic outcomes alongside operational constraints, before turning to economic trade-offs, environmental outcomes, and emergent technological directions relevant to broader adoption.

Overview of Precision Farming Techniques

Meanwhile, precision farming integrates three interlocking components: geolocation, sensing, and analytics-driven control. GPS and GNSS guidance support auto-steering, section control, and variable-rate capabilities that align machinery paths and input placement with mapped field variability, while RTK corrections enable centimeter-level repeatability for controlled traffic and site-specific trials. On the sensing side, proximal soil probes, canopy reflectance sensors, machine-mounted yield monitors, and UAV or satellite imagery provide continuous measurements of moisture, nutrient status, and biomass, which are synchronized with spatial coordinates to build temporally resolved management zones. Data analytics then convert these measurements into prescriptions through models and decision rules that run on farm management platforms, with AI methods increasingly applied to classify stress, predict growth stages, and optimize input timing from IoT data streams (Raj *et al.*, 2021) [10]. Finally, actuation occurs through variable-rate seeders, fertilizer applicators, and irrigation systems, translating prescriptions into precisely metered field operations linked to feedback loops (Raj *et al.*, 2021) [10].

Additionally, the historical trajectory of precision agriculture traces a shift from early yield monitors and differential GPS in the 1990s to integrated sensing–analytics–actuation systems that now operate across seasons. Initial adoption centered on mapping spatial variability to guide fertilizer placement, but subsequent advances in GNSS accuracy and section control reduced overlap, improved pass-to-pass repeatability, and enabled on-farm experimentation that refined prescriptions. The 2010s introduced widespread remote sensing and connected machinery, while recent developments in machine vision, AI-driven classification, and IoT networks moved decision-making from periodic mapping toward continual, in-season adjustment (Zaman, 2023) [15]. Motivations for adoption have mirrored these phases: producers sought input savings and labor efficiency first, then pursued yield stability, quality consistency, and risk reduction under price volatility and climate variability, with regulatory pressure on nutrient losses reinforcing these incentives. Policy interest and maturing service ecosystems further lowered entry barriers by aligning profitability aims with conservation goals and by standardizing data handling and support pathways (Zaman, 2023) [15].

Furthermore, the central goals of precision farming coalesce around aligning input application with measured crop demand to optimize use, reduce waste, and advance sustainability across production systems. By calibrating water, nutrients, and crop protection to spatial and temporal variability, managers seek higher output per unit of input while constraining off-target losses that raise costs and degrade surrounding ecosystems (Finger *et al*., 2019) [5]. These goals extend beyond single-season margins: site-specific control aims to stabilize yield formation under variable weather, limit soil and water contamination from residual agrichemicals, and document stewardship outcomes that are increasingly relevant to market and regulatory requirements (Finger *et al*., 2019) [5]. In operational terms, prescriptions target the minimum effective dose and timing that maintain crop physiological thresholds, thus shrinking variability within fields and enabling repeatable performance across years. As the previous section's toolset indicates, these objectives depend on data quality and feedback-driven actuation, which together convert monitoring into corrective actions that support both profitability and environmental compliance.

Resource Use Efficiency in Agriculture

Consequently, resource use efficiency in agriculture can be defined as the ratio of useful agronomic output—such as marketable yield or quality attributes—to the quantity of a specific input consumed, typically measured for water, nutrients, energy, and land over a given period. This framing links productivity with stewardship because higher output per unit input reduces costs and lowers off-site losses, thereby aligning farm management with regulatory and market pressures described earlier. In practice, efficiency hinges on matching application dose and timing to crop demand so that physiological thresholds are met without surplus, a control problem that precision farming addresses through geolocation, sensing, and variable-rate actuation (Ahmad & Dar, 2020) [1]. The same framework applies to fertilizers, where spatially targeted and temporally tuned applications curtail residual nitrogen while lifting nitrogen-use efficiency at field scale, with documented reductions in residues and marked gains in conversion efficiency supporting the economic and environmental case (Ahmad & Dar, 2020) [1]. Thus, efficiency metrics provide actionable performance indicators that connect data-driven decisions to sustainable food production targets.

However, conventional uniform-rate practices often misalign input supply with within-field variability, causing frequent over-application of water and fertilizers that depresses marginal returns and elevates off-site losses. Fixed irrigation schedules ignore spatial differences in soil texture and water-holding capacity, so some zones receive excess water that leaches nutrients while others remain under-supplied, reducing water-use efficiency and destabilizing canopy function across growth stages. Similarly, blanket fertilizer programs apply doses to the average condition rather than to measured need, creating high-residual areas where nitrate leaching and nitrous oxide emissions rise, alongside low-fertility patches that constrain root uptake and limit yield potential. These inefficiencies persist because traditional scouting and manual record-keeping provide coarse feedback, delaying corrective actions and reinforcing routines that favor operational simplicity over data-informed adjustment, in contrast to sensing and variable-rate methods that target dose and timing to site conditions (Sharma, 2023) [12]. As a result, baseline efficiency metrics under legacy methods frequently lag behind benchmarks achievable with site-specific management (Sharma, 2023) [12].

In contrast, precision farming corrects the misallocation inherent in uniform-rate methods by pairing targeted application with continuous, spatially explicit monitoring. GPS-guided machinery and section control limit overlap while variable-rate applicators meter seed, fertilizer, and irrigation to prescription maps that reflect measured heterogeneity, thereby aligning dose with localized crop demand and preventing surplus in high-residue zones (Vellingiri *et al*., 2024) [14]. Concurrently, proximal sensors and drone imagery provide near-real-time signals on soil moisture, canopy vigor, and microclimate, enabling managers to adjust timing and quantity during critical growth windows rather than after losses occur (Vellingiri *et al*., 2024) [14]. These feedback loops convert detection into immediate control actions—for example, pausing irrigation where soil water is adequate, advancing nitrogen in emerging deficits, or targeting crop protection only to detected hotspots—thus raising output per unit input while curbing leaching and runoff. By integrating guidance, sensing, and actuation, the system reduces operational lag, supports within-season corrections, and establishes traceable efficiency gains at field scale.

For instance, capacitance and tensiometric soil moisture sensors placed at multiple depths feed continuous readings into irrigation controllers, allowing thresholds for refill to be set by crop stage and soil texture so that water is applied only where root zones approach deficit (Mohammed Aarif K. O. *et al*., 2025) [9]. Variable rate irrigation (VRI) systems then translate zone-specific prescriptions into independently actuated sprinkler banks or drip valves, matching application depth and pulse frequency to mapped variability and thereby reducing percolation in coarse-textured areas while preventing stress on heavier soils. Complementing in-ground measurements, UAV-mounted multispectral cameras estimate canopy water status through indices linked to stomatal conductance, which trigger spatial adjustments to irrigation when thermal signatures indicate emerging stress before visible wilting (Mohammed Aarif K. O. *et al*., 2025) [9]. Edge-computing gateways aggregate sensor and weather data, run evapotranspiration models, and update setpoints in near real time, narrowing the gap between detection and actuation during heat events. Additionally, AI-based fusion of soil probes, environmental sensors, and hyperspectral inputs filters noise and refines zone boundaries for subsequent VRI passes (Mohammed Aarif K. O. *et al*., 2025) [9].

Similarly, precision nutrient management systems align fertilizer dose, placement, and timing with mapped soil variability to raise nutrient-use efficiency while curbing residual losses and costs. GIS layers from soil sampling, yield maps, and terrain attributes are combined to delineate management zones, and GPS-guided applicators execute variable-rate prescriptions that match nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium supply to site-specific demand, limiting hotspots of surplus that drive nitrate leaching and nitrous oxide formation (Bhamini *et al*., 2025) [3]. Decision rules incorporate crop stage, weather forecasts, and sensor-derived canopy status to shift portions of total nitrogen into in-season applications, thereby improving synchronization with uptake and reducing immobilization or volatilization after rainfall events. By cutting excess application in high-supply zones and reallocating to deficit areas, these systems trim fertilizer purchases while preserving yield potential and quality, producing measurable savings per hectare alongside reduced off-field nutrient loading (Bhamini *et al*., 2025) [3]. Integration with remote sensing and IoT platforms further refines prescriptions through iterative updates as conditions evolve.

Additionally, unmanned aerial vehicles and satellite imagery supply rapid, scalable observations that sharpen allocation of water, nutrients, and crop protection across management zones. High-frequency drone flights capture subfield variability at centimeter to decimeter resolution, detecting canopy stress, emergence gaps, and disease foci before yield is compromised, while satellites contribute broad coverage and temporal continuity that anchor season-long adjustment of prescriptions. These image streams, when georeferenced to GNSS and ingested into analytics platforms, produce zone updates that shift irrigation setpoints, reallocate in-season nitrogen, and confine pesticide applications to detected hotspots, thereby reducing overlap and off-target inputs. Importantly, the maturation of imaging sensors and ICT architectures documented in the precision agriculture literature mirrors growing adoption of imagery-driven decision loops that connect sensing to variable-rate actuation (Avola *et al*., 2024) [2]. In fertilization, where patenting activity has concentrated, image-derived vigor and nutrient status maps increasingly inform split-application timing and rate capping in high-supply areas to curtail residuals without constraining attainable yield (Avola *et al*., 2024) [2].

Crop Performance Outcomes

Accordingly, crop performance denotes the combined outcomes of yield quantity, quality attributes that determine marketability, and resilience to biotic and abiotic stressors across variable seasons. Yield remains a central indicator, evaluated as harvested mass per area and often disaggregated by stability measures that track variance across years or management zones to reflect consistent physiological development. Quality is assessed through metrics such as protein, oil content, test weight, size uniformity, and defect rates, which together influence price realization and postharvest efficiency; these attributes respond to timely input synchronization and canopy health maintenance. Resilience, in turn, captures the crop's capacity to maintain function under heat, drought, disease pressure, or nutrient shortfall, with attention to recovery trajectories and the maintenance of critical thresholds for photosynthesis and reproductive success. Producer assessments of precision technologies frequently reference these dimensions—particularly yield gains and cost control—as salient benefits, linking performance indicators to adoption choices (Thompson *et al*., 2018) [13].

In fact, evidence for yield increases under precision farming is strongest where data-driven prescriptions correct spatial misallocation and tighten input timing around sensitive growth stages. Meta-analyses and multi-year farm trials report modest average yield gains—often in the low single digits—when variable-rate seeding and nitrogen are coordinated with canopy and soil diagnostics, with larger responses in fields exhibiting pronounced heterogeneity. Studies linking IoT sensor networks and machine-vision analytics to in-season adjustments show that earlier detection of water or nutrient stress can prevent irreversible losses at flowering or grain fill, translating detection into measurable harvest improvements (Karunathilake *et al*., 2023) [6]. Furthermore, GPS-guided section control reduces overlap that otherwise suppresses stand uniformity, while robotics-enabled precision placement supports consistent emergence and tiller development across management zones (Karunathilake *et al*., 2023) [6]. Although yield responses vary with baseline management, soil texture, and weather, the pattern across trials indicates that precision practices stabilize canopy function and convert avoided stress episodes into incremental, economically meaningful yield gains.

Moreover, precise input management has improved crop quality by tightening spatial uniformity in plant stand, canopy development, and harvest attributes that drive grading outcomes. Variable-rate seeding, irrigation, and nitrogen timing reduce within-field variance in plant size and maturity, which lowers defect rates and improves traits such as test weight, kernel size consistency, and color uniformity that determine market premiums. ICT-integrated control systems coordinate sensors and machinery so that dose, placement, and timing converge on crop physiological thresholds, thereby supporting protein formation in cereals and oil profile targets in oilseeds through stable availability of water and assimilable nitrogen during critical windows (D Andujar, 2023) [4]. In horticultural systems, targeted fertigation and zone-specific canopy management limit overgrowth and nutrient dilution, improving soluble solids and firmness while reducing postharvest shrink, outcomes traceable to synchronized water status and nutrient supply at fruit set. These quality gains complement yield stabilization by shrinking spatial heterogeneity, which simplifies downstream sorting, reduces rejections, and improves contract compliance (D Andujar, 2023) [4].

Furthermore, precision farming enhances crop resilience by enabling early detection of stressors and timely, localized interventions that prevent cascading physiological damage during critical growth stages. Sensor networks and imagery identify pest ingress, disease onset, and water or heat stress at subfield scales, allowing variable-rate irrigation, targeted pesticide deployment, and canopy microclimate adjustments that maintain photosynthetic capacity and reproductive success under volatile weather. Prescription updates informed by short-term forecasts and in-season diagnostics also support staged nutrient supply that sustains root function and tissue integrity, reducing susceptibility windows that pests and pathogens exploit. Although these capabilities depend on data quality, operator skill, and interoperable equipment, the trajectory of lower machinery costs and rising digital proficiency indicates broader feasibility, which is essential for resilience gains to reach small and medium-sized farms (KLEPACKI, 2020) [7]. In contexts where farm structures consolidate and service ecosystems mature, integration of sensing with actuation can stabilize performance against heat spikes, irregular rainfall, and disease pressure (KLEPACKI, 2020) [7].

For example, multi-year trials in variable-rate nitrogen management for winter wheat reported 3–5% yield increases with concurrent improvements in protein uniformity when in-season prescriptions were guided by canopy sensing and GNSS-referenced maps (S *et al*., 2024). A drip-fertigated tomato study using IoT-enabled soil moisture and electrical conductivity sensors documented a 20% reduction in applied water and a 12% rise in marketable yield, attributable to setpoint-based irrigation that maintained consistent fruit size and firmness during heat events (S *et al*., 2024). In maize, on-farm experiments that paired section control with variable-rate seeding reduced stand overlap by double digits and improved harvestable ears per square meter, translating into stable yields across contrasting soil textures despite irregular rainfall. Similarly, vineyard blocks using remote sensing to target zone-specific irrigation and nitrogen applications achieved narrower distributions of Brix and acidity at harvest, improving contract compliance and reducing sorting losses. Across these cases, measurable gains reflect tighter synchronization of dose, timing, and placement with spatially resolved crop demand (S *et al*., 2024).

Economic and Environmental Impacts

Nevertheless, the economic case for precision farming rests on measurable cost savings from reduced input use, labor efficiency, and improved pass-to-pass accuracy that together raise margin per hectare. Farmers report lower variable costs when section control eliminates overlap, variable-rate prescriptions trim fertilizer and water purchases, and guided traffic reduces fuel and wear, while stabilized yield and quality support higher revenue reliability across seasons. Return on investment emerges from these combined effects and from the option value of better information, which reduces decision error and supports within-season corrections that protect high-value growth stages from irreversible loss. Consistent with economic studies that treat observed adoption as revealed profitability, diffusion patterns across regions and technologies signal that many systems deliver positive net returns under commercial conditions, even as performance varies with heterogeneity, commodity prices, and service costs (Lowenberg-DeBoer, 2019) [8]. Furthermore, projections of continued uptake imply that learning-by-using and competitive service markets will lower per-hectare costs and shorten payback periods as toolchains mature (Lowenberg-DeBoer, 2019) [8].

Additionally, environmental advantages arise when precision systems align input placement with measured field conditions, thereby shrinking the volume of water and agrichemicals transported off-site during storm events. GPS-guided section control and variable-rate irrigation reduce overlap and percolation, while drone-informed hotspot targeting confines pesticide and fertilizer applications to areas of need, which lowers concentrations in surface runoff and tile effluent (Vellingiri *et al*., 2024) [14]. These same adjustments diminish greenhouse gas formation by curbing surplus nitrogen that drives nitrification–denitrification pathways and by reducing fuel use through optimized routes and fewer passes, with sensor feedback preventing unnecessary operations during low-response periods (Vellingiri *et al*., 2024) [14]. Over successive seasons, lower chemical loading and improved moisture regulation support soil microbial activity, aggregate stability, and residue retention, strengthening nutrient cycling and infiltration that buffer fields against erosion. Together, these outcomes link operational precision to broader ecological performance by translating real-time monitoring into site-specific control that reduces losses at the source while maintaining agronomic function.

However, translating technical potential into widespread adoption faces several obstacles that temper the economic and environmental gains outlined above. Upfront investment in GNSS guidance, sensors, variable-rate hardware, and data platforms can strain working capital, with uncertain payback when field heterogeneity is modest or service markets are thin, thereby raising hesitation among producers who weigh costs against perceived benefits (Thompson *et al*., 2018) [13]. Beyond hardware, technological complexity—data calibration, interoperability, prescription generation, and maintenance—demands time and specialized skills that compete with seasonal labor constraints, and errors in configuration can erode expected efficiency improvements. These challenges are compounded by uneven digital infrastructure and fragmented support services, which increase switching costs and limit confidence in multi-vendor toolchains; in turn, producers' heterogeneous perceptions of yield gains, cost savings, and convenience shape willingness to experiment and sustain use over multiple seasons (Thompson *et al*., 2018) [13]. Consequently, targeted training, decision support, and service models that reduce learning burdens become central to lowering adoption thresholds while preserving operational reliability.

Future Prospects and Innovations in Precision Farming

Looking ahead, innovations will concentrate on AI- and machine learning–enabled decision systems that fuse heterogeneous data streams from IoT-connected sensors, imaging platforms, and weather services to generate adaptive, zone-specific prescriptions. Next-generation sensor networks combining hyperspectral, thermal, and soil probes will expand continuous monitoring of water status, nutrient availability, and disease risk, while onboard analytics filter noise, quantify uncertainty, and trigger irrigation, fertigation, or protection only when thresholds are crossed (Mohammed Aarif K. O. *et al*., 2025) [9]. Concurrently, robotics will extend precision beyond mapping and rate control toward autonomous actuation—swarm sprayers, robotic weeders, and harvest assistants—that execute micro-dosed, spatially selective operations synchronized with real-time diagnostics. Edge–cloud architectures will distribute computation so that time-critical control runs locally, whereas model training and cross-season learning proceed centrally, supporting transferable prescriptions across fields and years (Mohammed Aarif K. O. *et al*., 2025) [9]. As these components integrate, interoperability standards, self-calibration routines, and explainable models will be essential to maintain reliability, reduce operator burden, and scale benefits to diverse farm sizes.

Consequently, the convergence of sensing, geolocation, and variable-rate actuation positions precision farming to contribute meaningfully to global food security while aligning production with sustainability targets. By raising output per unit of water, nutrients, and energy, site-specific management can expand effective supply without proportional increases in input demand or land conversion, buffering food systems against climate volatility and resource constraints. Scalable toolchains—remote sensing, ground sensors, and GPS/GIS—translate spatial heterogeneity into actionable prescriptions that curb losses, reduce leaching and emissions, and support consistent yields and quality, which stabilizes supply chains and market availability (Sharma, 2023) [12]. Moreover, precision crop management platforms integrate diverse datasets to coordinate in-season adjustments at field scale, improving reliability of harvest outcomes in smallholder and commercial contexts while reducing off-site impacts that degrade soils and waterways (Sharma, 2023) [12]. As robotics and automation lower labor bottlenecks and enable micro-dosed, targeted operations, the combined effect is a production system that meets rising demand with tighter resource stewardship and verifiable environmental performance.

Finally, ongoing research and policy initiatives converge on lowering adoption barriers through interoperable platforms, standardized data governance, and targeted support for training and service provision. Public–private programs fund testbeds that integrate IoT sensors, machine vision, and robotics with decision support, enabling demonstration of adaptive prescriptions under commercial conditions while generating open datasets for benchmarking and extension curricula (Karunathilake *et al*., 2023) [6]. Regulatory pathways increasingly reference data standards and audit-ready records, linking conservation payments and tax incentives to verifiable reductions in water use, nutrient residues, and emissions documented by connected equipment. Parallel research agendas emphasize edge–cloud architectures, cybersecurity, and explainable AI to improve reliability and operator trust, with grant schemes prioritizing smallholder-appropriate hardware, connectivity solutions, and modular service bundles that reduce fixed costs (Karunathilake *et al*., 2023) [6]. In combination, procurement guidelines, performance-based subsidies, and interoperability standards create scale economies for vendors and clearer return profiles for producers, accelerating diffusion across heterogeneous farm sizes and cropping systems.

Conclusion

Collectively, the analysis shows that precision farming improves resource use efficiency by aligning water, nutrient, and energy applications with measured crop demand, thereby reducing waste while maintaining agronomic function. These same mechanisms strengthen crop performance through modest but durable yield gains, tighter quality distributions, and greater resilience to episodic stress, outcomes linked to feedback-driven, site-specific decisions across the season. The integration of sensing, geolocation, analytics, and variable-rate actuation translates heterogeneity into timely control, shrinking operational lag and converting avoided stress into marketable output with lower off-site losses. Moreover, emerging AI, robotics, and edge–cloud architectures indicate that these benefits can scale as systems become more interoperable, self-calibrating, and labor-efficient, making reliable performance accessible across farm sizes. Taken together, the evidence suggests a production model that raises output per unit input while curbing environmental burdens, positioning agriculture to meet rising demand under climate and resource constraints with verifiable stewardship outcomes.

References

1. Ahmad SF, Dar AH. Precision farming for resource use efficiency. Springer, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-6953-1_4
2. Avola G, Distefano M, Torrisi A, Riggi E. Precision agriculture and patented innovation: State of the art and current trends. World Patent Information,2024:76:102262. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wpi.2024.102262
3. Bhamini K, Kumar A, Magrey AH, Jafri SKF, Najmusaqib SLPR, Jan I, *et al*. The role of GIS and GPS in precision fertilization: Optimizing nutrient management for sustainable cropping. Researchgate.Net,2025:8(2):260–267. https://doi.org/10.33545/2618060x.2025.v8.i2d.2574
4. D Andujar. Back to the future: What is trending on precision agriculture? Mdpi.Com, 2023, 13(8). https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4395/13/8/2069
5. Finger R, Swinton SM, El Benni N, Walter A. Precision farming at the nexus of agricultural production and the environment. Annual Review of Resource Economics,2019:11:313–335. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-resource-100518-093929
6. Karunathilake EMBM, Le AT, Heo S, Chung YS, Mansoor S. The path to smart farming: Innovations and opportunities in precision agriculture. Mdpi.Com,2023:13(8):1593. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture13081593
7. Klepacki B. Precision farming as an element of the 4.0 industry economy. Ageconsearch.Umn.Edu, 2020, 2020(3). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.22004/ag.econ.308224
8. Lowenberg-DeBoer J. The economics of precision agriculture. Burleigh Dodds Science Publishing, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781351114592-22
9. Mohammed Aarif KO, Afroj Alam, Yousuf Hotak. Smart sensor technologies shaping the future of precision agriculture: Recent advances and future outlooks. Journal of Sensors, 2025, 2025(1). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1155/js/2460098
10. Raj EFI, Appadurai M, Athiappan K. Precision farming in modern agriculture. Springer, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-6124-2_4
11. SSK Moinuddin N, Belagalla N, Srinatha TN, Abhishek GJ, Kumar V, Tiwari A, *et al*. Revolutionizing agriculture: innovative techniques, applications, and future prospects in precision farming. Journal of Scientific Research and Reports,2024:30(8):405–419. https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i82263
12. Sharma S. agriculture: Reviewing the advancements technologies and applications in precision agriculture for improved crop productivity and resource management. Rfna.Com.My,2023:4(2):45–49. https://doi.org/10.26480/rfna.02.2023.45.49
13. Thompson NM, Bir C, Widmar DA, Mintert JR. Farmer perceptions of precision agriculture technology benefits. Journal of Agricultural and Applied Economics,2018:51(1):142–163. https://doi.org/10.1017/aae.2018.27
14. Vellingiri A, Kokila R, Nisha P, Kumar M, Chinnusamy S, Boopathi S, *et al*. Harnessing GPS, sensors, and drones to minimize environmental impact: precision agriculture. IGI Global Scientific Publishing, 2024. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-5498-8.ch004
15. Zaman Q. Precision agriculture: Evolution, insights and emerging trends, 2023. books.google.com. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=hmilEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=historical+evolution+of+precision+agriculture&ots=UUnCWGopzK&sig=3Qg3aOTtcIK0u5kxECpXS0KJ-ug